



A civil society perspective on EIB-financed infrastructure projects: challenges and lessons learnt

Xavier Sol 20/04/2016





















Presentation of Counter Balance

- Coalition of 9 European NGOs created in 2007, with a Brussels-based secretariat.
- Counter Balance's mission is to make European public finance a key driver of the transition towards socially and environmentally sustainable and equitable societies.
- Created to **monitor** the activities of the **EIB** and push for its **reform**.





A variable geometry approach to fraud and corruption

- The EIB anti-fraud policy (Policy on preventing and deterring prohibited conduct in European Investment Bank activities) and associated "Zero Tolerance to Fraud and Corruption Policy" are not fully implemented.
- The EIB should not finance projects under **corruption investigations** at national or European level, and stop further disbursements for such projects.
- In recent years, the EIB has financed projects under investigations, and is now about to do so once more in Italy.





The case of Passante di Mestre (1)

- Passante di Mestre is a motorway bypass around the city of Mestre, close to Venice. In April 2013 the EIB disbursed a loan of EUR 350 million to refinance the debt the project has been accumulating since its start in 2003.
- In March 2011 the project was subject to a critical report by the Italian Court of Auditors. The concerns raised in the report included a lack of public supervision and control leading to an unjustified increase in costs, as well as the risk of infiltration of organised crime via subcontracting companies carrying out the construction.
- The project and several subcontracting companies are **under investigation** by the Italian authorities for alleged fiscal fraud and the possible infiltration of the Mafia. This is linked to one of the biggest corruption scandals in Italy's history.



The case of Passante di Mestre (2)

- In January 2014, we filed a complaint to OLAF to open an investigation into the EIB loan, but this was rejected by OLAF.
- The European Parliament adopted in April 2015 the following via a resolution:



Regrets that the EIB financed 'Passante di Mestre', after the Italian authorities publicly announced the arrest of the CEO of its main subcontractor for fiscal fraud; in light of the still ongoing investigations by the Italian authorities into the corruption scandal related to the construction and management of the 'Passante di Mestre', calls on the EIB not to finance the 'Passante di Mestre' project through the PBI or any other financial instrument, and to ensure that it implements its zero tolerance to fraud policy when considering the use of project bonds

• In 2016, the EC and the EIB confirmed they will use the **EU Project Bonds**Initiative to refinance the project. Bonds are to be emitted for the project thanks to EU support, even if the investigations are still ongoing.





What due diligence for ensuring sustainability and viability of projects?

- Financing to unsustainable, untransparent and unviable projects still happens (example of S7 project in Poland).
- Issue of **due diligence**: the EIB is not fully equipped to face the political push from EU Member States promoting projects violating European legislations.
- The complexity of financial instruments used increases the need for enhanced due diligence (Public Private Partnerships, Project bonds, etc).
- Example of Castor project: insufficient geological studies, even if the project
 was financed twice by the EIB. This raises doubts on the added value brought
 by the Bank in terms of due diligence.



Case study: the D4 R7 Bratislava bypass

- New PPP highway project in Slovakia worth EUR 4 billion one of the biggest EU PPP projects.
- Slovak think tanks, NGOs and independent experts are challenging the
 project from several aspects including over-pricing, tendentional
 manipulative analyses, conflict of interests and false information provided to
 the public.
- The project is to be co-financed by the EIB with a EUR 500 million loan.
- The Foundation 'Stop corruption' filed an official complaint to the EIB, asking the bank to withdraw financing and to show consistency in its approach to transport projects in Slovakia. A response from the Bank is pending.



What level of accountability for the EU Bank?

- The EIB is not sufficiently learning lessons from past mistakes:
 - Example of the Castor case, still branded as a success in financial terms.
- A limited involvement of the EIB Board of Directors around EIB problematic and sensitive cases — governance issues:
 - The Directors remain little informed about complaints lodged towards the EIB at the EIB internal Complaints Mechanism and at the European Ombudsman.
 - Corrective actions plans remain the responsibility of the EIB Management Committee.



- The EIB is still **lagging behind** other International Financial Institutions in terms of **transparency**:
 - Maladministration ruled by the European Ombudsman on a case in Zambia.
 - A controversial revision of ElB's transparency policy in 2015.



Recommendations

- Reinforced due diligence at the EIB, prioritizing quality of lending rather than quantity.
- ElB to stop financing or further disbursement of loans for projects under investigations at national or European level.
- Guaranteeing strong checks and balances within the EIB: towards a more independent and efficient Complaints Mechanism Office.
- Ensuring the EIB follows recommendations from the European Ombudsman.
- More systematic and pro-active role for the European Court of Auditors.
- A more structured scrutiny and engagement of the European Parliament is highly necessary
 - EFSI as an opportunity to set a precedent



Further readings (1)

- Re: Common: Italian Malaffare, European Money Or how the European Union funds Italian mega projects linked to corruption, August 2015
 http://www.counter-balance.org/italian-malaffare-european-money/
- NGO letter to EIB, 8 July 2014 http://www.counter-balance.org/letter-to-the-eib-regarding-the-financing-of-projects-in-italy-that-are-under-investigation-for-corruption/
- The Ibori case in Nigeria http://www.counter-balance.org/update-eib-and-corruption-the-ibori-case/
- Counter Balance: Towards a reinforced accountability architecture for the EIB,
 July 2015 http://www.counter-balance.org/new-report-towards-a-reinforced-accountability-architecture-for-the-european-investment-bank/



Further readings (2)

- CEE Bankwatch Network: Climate's enfants terribles, How new Member States' misguided use of EU funds is holding back Europe's clean energy transition.
 December 2015 http://bankwatch.org/sites/default/files/enfants-terribles.pdf
- CEE Bankwatch Network: S7 A road to nowhere
 http://bankwatch.org/sites/default/files/briefing-s7-15Sep2014.pdf
- Counter Balance Activity Report 2014 http://www.counter-balance-activity-report-2014/



Thank you for your attention

Contact details:

Xavier Sol
Director Counter Balance
Xavier.sol@counter-balance.org

www.counter-balance.org



@Counter_Balance