Open letter from 92 CSOs:

To avoid taxonomy-enabled greenwashing, financial institutions must exclude fossil gas and nuclear energy from all their products and bonds marketed as sustainable or green

Dear banks, investors, and insurers,

On February 2\textsuperscript{nd}, 2022, the European Commission proposed the inclusion of fossil gas and nuclear in the EU sustainable taxonomy\textsuperscript{1}. This decision concludes a two-year saga that transformed a “science-based” framework aimed at channeling investment towards sustainable activities into a highly politicized document that bends to the views of fossil gas and nuclear supporters.

This decision is unscientific and unjust, and responsible financial institutions must publicly commit to exclude fossil gas and nuclear energy from any of their products and bonds marketed as sustainable, green, or responsible.

Both fossil gas\textsuperscript{2} and nuclear were excluded from the taxonomy in the final report of the expert group appointed by the EU Commission. The reasons for this exclusion were simple: gas power generation has significant GHG emissions, and there is still no proven sustainable solution for disposing of nuclear waste\textsuperscript{3}. However, gas and nuclear lobbyists and European member states with important stakes in these industries disagreed, and the EU Commission deliberately allowed new fossil gas plants and nuclear power plants to be included in the EU Taxonomy.

Indeed, fossil gas and nuclear were so far the only two activities to benefit from a specific process. Nuclear energy was submitted to a review by the Joint Research Committee of the EU Commission, a group with ties to the nuclear industry\textsuperscript{4}, which painted the waste disposal problem as solved\textsuperscript{5}. Gas was allowed to depart from the GHG emission threshold for power generation and/or heat production and can emit 3 times more than other production methods included in the taxonomy\textsuperscript{6}.

The choice to include fossil gas and nuclear in the EU taxonomy disregards the numerous warnings from scientists, NGOs and CSOs that such a decision would endanger the EU’s sustainable transition. On one hand, new taxonomy-compliant gas power plants releasing 270 gCO\textsubscript{2}e/kWh would already emit more GHG than the current average carbon intensity of EU electricity production and 16 to 38

\textsuperscript{1} For a detailed analysis of the delegated act, see \textit{Reclaim Finance’s analysis}.
\textsuperscript{2} Fossil gas was included only if it does not emit more than 100gCO\textsubscript{2}e/kWh. This threshold is well-below the current emissions of the best performing plants (210 to 230gCO\textsubscript{2}e/kWh for cogeneration) and would require a massive use of carbon capture and storage to be reached. Providing the doubts on the efficiency of carbon capture technologies and their very high cost, such power plants are unlikely to be built and gas would be virtually excluded from the taxonomy.
\textsuperscript{3} The world has already produced about \textbf{250,000 to 300,000 tons} of super-toxic highly radioactive waste without having any geological facilities to store them.
\textsuperscript{4} See \textit{Greenpeace’s investigation} on the ties of the JRC with the nuclear industry.
\textsuperscript{5} For an analysis of the JRC report, see the \textit{report of the Okology Institute}, of the \textit{SCHER group} and of the \textit{German BASE}. A summary is also available in \textit{Reclaim Finance’s report}.
\textsuperscript{6} Other electricity or heat production methods included in the taxonomy set a threshold of 100gCO\textsubscript{2}e/kWh. In addition, the 270 gCO\textsubscript{2}/kWh threshold for gas is in direct emissions at combustion point, while the 100gCO\textsubscript{2}/kWh is in full lifecycle analysis: the \textit{IPPC} finds that for fossil gas this represents a median 32% difference in emissions.
times the emissions of onshore wind power\(^7\), therefore blocking the EU power system from reaching carbon neutrality for decades\(^8\). On the other, nuclear waste still poses major sustainability problems\(^9\). In addition, new nuclear reactors will take a long time to start producing electricity, thus requiring the extension of fossil fuel power plants. Both nuclear energy and gas combustion further come with negative impacts on water availability and quality.

By including fossil gas and nuclear in the EU taxonomy after a non-transparent process, the Commission undoes four years of work by EU institutions and expert groups and damages the EU's sustainable finance flagship.

The EU's own Platform on Sustainable Finance, as well as many sustainable finance professionals and groups including the European organization Eurosif and the UN Principles for Responsible Investment\(^10\), have opposed the Commission's proposal to include fossil gas and nuclear and underlined it would both sap the confidence in the new framework and have detrimental environmental impacts. The EIB President indicated that the European bank will apply its own – more stringent - criteria\(^11\). The European Consumers' Association (BEUC) summarized this by denouncing "unacceptable institutional greenwashing".

This position is shared by many of those who will be the prime users of the taxonomy: financial institutions. The IIGCC – an investor group made up of more than 370 institutions and with more than $50 trillion of asset under management – opposed the inclusion of fossil gas, saying that it would "undermine the credibility of the taxonomy as well as the EU’s own commitment to climate neutrality by 2050". Several individual financial institutions took similar positions on gas and/or nuclear, like Mirova in France, Achmea or ABP in the Netherlands, Union Investment or GLS in Germany and Raiffeisen Bank International in Austria\(^12\).

**The responsibility now lies with financial institutions not to mislead their clients.**

Even if the delegated act requires financial institutions to report their support to fossil gas and/or nuclear separately, Europeans have the right to expect that the sustainable products they are sold do not support fossil gas and nuclear and must not have to verify this themselves. The existing global green bond market excludes fossil fuels and nuclear power, similarly to several green retail finance labels\(^13\) in European countries: the EU taxonomy must not be a pretext to go backwards.

---

7 The 270gCO2e/kWh is higher than the one set by the European Investment Bank for its loans (250 gCO2e/kWh). It is well above the current average carbon intensity of electricity production in Europe (215 gCOe/kWh in 2020 according to the IEA) and 226 gCO2e/kWh in 2020 according to Ember) and the emissions of renewable energies (e.g. 8 to 83 g for photovoltaic energy and 7 to 16 for onshore wind energy in Europe in full lifecycle analysis, according to a recent United Nations study).
8 The investments required to build a gas-fired power plant generally take more than 10 years (9 to 17 years in several North American states, for example) to amortized, and gas plants operate on average 25-30 years in Europe, up HYPERLINK "https://www.spglobal.com/marketintelligence/en/news-insights/trending/gfjgeFtBGTpYNK4WX57z9g2"to 40 to 50 years.
9 For more information on the various impacts of nuclear energy on the taxonomy objectives, see the Platform on Sustainable Finance’s report and the EEB’s position paper.
10 See the platform by Elise Attal and Jan Vandermosten.
11 See Bloomberg’s article about President Hoyer’s opinion on the taxonomy.
12 The CEO of French Mirova has spoken publicly against the inclusion of gas in the taxonomy. Several German financial players and the Austrian RBI have spoken out against the inclusion of nuclear. Dutch financial players - including Achmea, ABP, ABN AMRO and Triodos - issued statements against the inclusion of both energies. The Danish Folkeperekassen and Merkur Cooperative Bank have also spoken out against the inclusion of gas.
13 Including the Nordic Green Swan Ecolabel or the French Greenfin label.
We, NGOs and CSOs ask financial institutions to publicly commit to exclude both fossil gas and nuclear from all their products and bonds marketed as sustainable, green, or responsible. This notably entails excluding these energies from ‘article 9’ funds\(^\text{14}\).

Europeans must not be deceived into believing they are supporting the sustainable transition by opting for a climate-aligned taxonomy while unknowingly supporting fossil gas and nuclear development instead.

**Signatories:**

1. Urgewald  
   Regine Richter, Public Finance Campaigner
2. Reclaim Finance  
   Paul Schreiber, Financial Regulation Campaigner
3. European Environmental Bureau (EEB)  
   Christian Schaible, Policy Manager
4. Greenpeace France  
   Florence de Bonnafos, Senior Campaigner
5. Greenpeace Switzerland  
   Larissa Marti, Sustainable Finance Campaigner
6. Greenpeace Italy  
   Alessandro Gianni, Campaign Director
7. Greenpeace Espana  
   Meritxell Bennasar, Taxonomy Head
8. Greenpeace CEE  
   Jasmin Duregger, Climate and Energy Campaigner
9. Global Witness  
   Tara Connolly, Senior EU Gas Campaigner
10. CAN Europe  
    Chiara Martinelli, Director
11. Shareaction  
    Maria van der Heide, Head of EU Policy
12. Friends of the Earth Europe  
    Colin Roche, Program Director
13. Les Amis de la Terre France – Friends of the Earth France  
    Lorette Philippot, Private Finance Campaigner
14. MTVSZ.hu - National Society of Conservationists – Friends of the Earth Hungary  
    Ákos Éger, Executive President
15. Friends of the Earth US  
    Kate DeAngelis, International Finance Program Manager
16. Environmental Association "Za Zemiata" - Friends of the Earth Bulgaria  
    Radostina Slavkova, Climate and Energy Coordinator
17. Friends of the Earth Finland  
    Tanja Pulliainen, Chairperson
18. ReCommon  
    Simone Ogno, Finance and Climate Campaigner
19. Fair Finance International

\(^{14}\) Article 9 fund (SFDR regulation) is defined as “a fund that has sustainable investment as its objective or a reduction in carbon emissions as its objective”.

---
Kees Koode, International Coordinator

20. 350.org
Nick Bryer, Associate Director – European Campaigns

21. 350.org Japan
Takayoshi Yokoyama, Leader

22. SumOfUs
Leyla Larbi, Campaign Manager

23. WeMoveEU
Virginia López Calvo, Senior Campaigner

24. ASUFIN
Verónica Rodríguez, Communication Officer

25. Milieudefense – Friends of the Earth Netherlands
Kauther Yahya, Sustainable Finance Campaigner

26. Amazon Watch
Moira Briss, Climate and Finance Director

27. BankTrack
Johan Frijns, Executive Director

28. CEE Bankwatch
Petr Hlobil, Fossil Fuel Area Leader

29. Change Finance
Shonan Kothari, Campaigns and Communication Officer

30. .ausgestrahlt
Julian Bothe, Nuclear Energy Campaigner

31. The KoalaKolektiv
Karla Koala, activist group

32. Verbraucherzentrale Bremen
Annabel Oelmann, Finance Head

33. Bellona Europe
Lina Strandvåg Nagell, Sustainable Finance & Economy Manager

34. Bellona Germany
Dr. Erika Bellmann, Director

35. The Alliance of Associations Polish Green Network
Maciej Wereszczyński, Energy and Climate Program Coordinator

36. ZERO - Associação Sistema Terrestre Sustentável
Francisco Ferreira, Presidente

37. The Gastivists
Manolis and Ermioni, members of the Gastivists

38. Oil Change International
David Tong, Global Industry Campaign Manager

39. The Veblen Institute
Wojtek Kalinowski, Director

40. Revo prosper
Neus Casajuana, Presidente

41. Both Ends
Cindy Coltman, Senior Policy Officer

42. Just Finance International
Wawa Wang, Director

43. Nature & Environment (Natuur & Milieu)
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44. Just Share
Hugo Robyn, Director – Climate Change Engagement

45. Global 2000
Patricia Lorenz, Nuclear Energy Campaigner

46. DUH
Constantin Zerger, Energy and Climate Director

47. Climáximo
Joao Costa, Volunteer

48. Bürgerbewegung Finanzwende
Magdalena Senn, Sustainable Finance Campaigner

49. Counter Balance
Xavier Sol, Director

50. Stand.earth
Gary Cook, Global Climate Campaigns Director

51. Corporate Europe Observatory
Belén Balanyá, Climate and Energy Researcher

52. Centre for Transport and Energy
Šimon Batík, Project Coordinator

53. Fundacja EkoRozwoju / The Foundation for Sustainable Development
Krzysztof Smolnicki, Board President

54. Stowarzyszenie Pracownia na rzecz Wszystkich Istot
Diana Maciąga, Climate and energy campaigner

55. Stowarzyszenie Edukacyjno-Kulturalne Wspólna Ziemia
Radosław Sawicki, Board President

56. Opzione Zero
Marino Favaretto, Representative

57. Mekong Watch
Kiguchi Yuka, Director

58. Hiiliivapaa Suomi (Coal-free Finland)
Le Vo, Campaign Coordinator

59. Alliance for Future Generations – Fiji
Seru Lavatenalagi, Coordinator

60. Mom Loves Taiwan Association
Gloria K.J Hsu, Board Member

61. Jubilee Australia Research Centre
Dina Rui, Campaigns Director

62. Solutions For Our Climate
Dongjae Oh, Researcher

63. Associazione Bianca Guidetti Serra
Donato Cardigliano, Representative

64. Cedeuam UniSalento
Michele Carducci, Director

65. Ecoistituto della Valle del Ticino
Oreste Magni, President

66. Fairwatch Italy
Monica Di Sisto, Vice-President

67. Associazione spazi popolari
Laura Imperiale, Representative
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68. Disarmisti esigenti
Ennio Cabiddu, Activist

69. Legambiente Leverano e della Terra d’Arneo
Fernando Vantaggiato, Representative

70. Coordinamento ravennate "Per il Clima-Fuori dal Fossile"
Giuseppe Tadolini, Coordinator

71. Action Aid Denmark
Magnus Jensen Nielsen, Sustainable Finance Researcher

72. Stowarzyszenie Ekologiczne EKO-UNIA, Poland
Radosław Gawlik, President

73. Re-set: platform for social-ecological change
Kubala Radek, Campaigner

74. Associazione ambientalista Eugenio Rosmann
Claudio Siniscalchi, Representative

75. Facing Finance
Thomas Küchenmeister, Managing Director

76. People of Asia for Climate Solutions
Xiaojun Wang, Executive Director

77. Mani Rosse antirazziste
Rachele Collela, Member

78. Earthlife Namibia
Kohrs Bertchen, Chair

79. Comitati cittadini per l'ambiente
Giovanna Margadonna, Representative

80. COBAS Confederazione Comitati di Base
Vincenzo Miliucci, Member of the National governance

81. Coord.ravennate "Per il Clima - Fuori dal Fossile"
Giuseppe Tadolini, Representative

82. Emergenzaclimatica.it
Angelo Gagliani, Representative of the editorial board

83. Campagna Per il Clima Fuori dal Fossile
Renato Di Nicola, Representative

84. Movimento No TAP/SNAM Brindisi
Cosimo Quaranta, Representative

85. Trivelle Zero Molise
Marcella Stumpo, Representative

86. Trivelle Zero Marche
Fabrizio Recanatesi, Representative

87. Fundacion Chile Sustentable
Sara Larrain, Director

88. Open Plan Foundation
Magdalena Klarenbach, Board Member

89. Polish Ecological Club Mazovian Branch
Urszula Stefanowicz, Policy Officer and Project Coordinator

90. Polish Ecological Club East-north brange
Jadwiga Kopec, Coordinator

91. Forum Ambientalista
Simona Ricotti, Representative
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92. EkoStandrez
Romana Leban, Representative

Contacts:
Paul Schreiber, Campaigner, Reclaim Finance, paul@reclaimfinance.org, +33 6 89 02 07 88 (EN/FR)
Ognyan Seizov, International Communication, Urgewald, ognyan.seizov@urgewald.org, + 49 (0)30 863 2922 61 (EN/GER)